# **Injury Recovery in a Juvenile Green Sea Turtle**  *Chelonia mydas* **in a Foraging Ground in the Southwest Atlantic, Brazil**

*Deisi C. Balensiefer1,2,9, Gustavo Martinez-Souza3,4, Yohany A. A. Perez4,5, Thayana Gião4,6, Raphael M. Pinotti7, and Gonzalo Velasco<sup>8</sup>*

*1 Graduate Program in Biological Oceanography, Institute of Oceanography, Federal University of Rio Grande, Itália Avenue, km 8, Rio Grande, Rio Grande do Sul, 96203900, Brazil*

*2 Right Whale Environmental Protection Area, Chico Mendes Institute for Biodiversity Conservation,* 

*Santa Catarina Avenue 1465, Imbituba, Santa Catarina, 88780000, Brazil*

*3 Laboratory of Environmental Statistics, Institute of Mathematics, Statistics and Physics, Federal University of Rio* 

*Grande. Itália Avenue, km 8, Rio Grande, Rio Grande do Sul, 96203900, Brazil*

*4 Caminho Marinho Project, A8 Street, Itapirubá, Imbituba, Santa Catarina 88780000, Brazil*

*5 Graduate Program in Veterinary Medicine (Clinical and Animal Reproduction), Faculty of Veterinary,* 

*Fluminense Federal University, Almirante Ary Parreiras Avenue 503,* 

*Vital Brazil/Santa Rosa, Niterói, Rio de Janeiro, 24230340, Brazil*

*6 Graduate Program in Oceanography, Federal University of Santa Catarina, Engenheiro Agronômico Andrei Cristian* 

*Ferreira Street, Trindade, Florianópolis, Santa Catarina, 88040900, Brazil*

*7 Laboratory of Benthic Ecology, Institute of Oceanography, Federal University of Rio Grande, Itália Avenue,* 

*km 8, Rio Grande, Rio Grande do Sul, 96203900, Brazil*

*8 Institute of Oceanography, Federal University of Rio Grande, Itália Avenue, km 8, Rio Grande,* 

*Rio Grande do Sul, 96203900, Brazil*

*9 Corresponding author, email: deisi.balensiefer@icmbio.gov.br*

*Abstract***.—Sea turtles are often encountered showing signs of human interaction. Embedded fishing lines and hooks, plastic ingestion, and evidence of boat strikes are among the most common observed impacts. Considering their wide-ranging nature, it is a challenge to know how sea turtles in the wild can cope and sometimes recover from anthropic impacts, and to understand other secondary impacts to recovering turtles. Here we followed the recovery of a juvenile Green Sea Turtle (***Chelonia mydas***) for over 2 y in its natural environment. We captured the juvenile in a monitored foraging ground in the Right Whale Environmental Protection Area of southern Brazil, with wounds on its carapace, possibly caused by a boat collision. We captured the individual six times between July 2021 and July 2023, with an average 146 d interval between catches, allowing us to follow its wound healing, its body growth, and the epibionts colonizing it from which we identified barnacles of the species** *Chelonibia testudinaria,* **and for the first time in Brazilian Green Sea Turtles the titan acorn barnacles** *Megabalanus coccopoma***,** *M. tintinnabulum,* **and** *M. vesiculosus***. The gathering and development of these epibionts on the carapace seems to have been at least partially facilitated by the rest and permanence of the juvenile in the area while recovering from its wounds. Though a long-term (> 2 y) effects assessment of the trauma was not possible, the recapture events demonstrated the ability of the juvenile to recover naturally in the environment, and that its growth was likely compromised by human disturbances, evidencing the importance of continuous monitoring in the foraging areas for species conservation in the region.**

*Key Words*.*—*anthropic interaction; barnacles; carapace fracture; endangered species; environmental protection area; epibionts

#### **Introduction**

Sea turtles are vulnerable to numerous sources of injury throughout their lives. Motorboat traffic, ports, landfills, the dredging of navigation channels, and fishing activities are related to accidents and mortality of turtles throughout their distribution range in oceans, bays, and estuaries (Hazel and Gyuris 2006; Vélez-Rubio et al. 2013; Godoy and Stockin 2018; Lucchetti et al. 2018). Human occupation along coastal regions can be very harmful to their breeding areas (National Research Council 1990; Chaloupka et al. 2008) and impacts their foraging grounds (Goldberg et al. 2015; Godoy and Stockin 2018; Pulcinella et al. 2019).

In Brazil, the foraging areas of Green Sea Turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) are distributed between latitudes 3º–33ºS (Marcovaldi and Marcovaldi 1999; Proietti et al. 2012), with high abundance in some regions (Silva et al. 2017). On a global scale, Green Sea

Turtles are classified as Endangered (International Union for Conservation of Nature 2023); however, recent nesting estimates in the South Atlantic suggest a possible increase in this population (Broderick and Patricio 2019), prompting more interaction with humans and intensifying the occurrence of accidents due to human activities (Monteiro et al. 2016; Tagliolatto et al. 2020). Juvenile Green Sea Turtles can be prone to such accidents, as their foraging grounds occur in neritic environments close to rocky shores, bays, estuaries and around islands; the same areas are frequented and used by humans (Chaloupka et al. 2008; Reisser et al. 2013; Gama et al. 2016; López-Mendilaharsu et al. 2016; Foley et al. 2019). Chelonians are able to recover from serious injuries (Franchini et al. 2016; Archibald and James 2018; Ataman et al. 2021), however, and there are records of sea turtles rescued with serious physical damage that were successfully rehabilitated (Crespo-Picazo et al. 2013; Franchini et al. 2016; Cruciani et al. 2021). Damage, though, likely leads to future impairment of the health and biology of the turtle (Ataman et al. 2021).

Boat collisions and propeller lacerations are among the most common causes of carapace wounds reported (Godoy and Stockin 2018; Foley et al. 2019; Phu and Palaniappan 2019). Nevertheless, mortality and the inherent difficulty of following up on sea turtles in their natural environment result in few studies on trauma recovery. Using photo-identification, researchers have been able to follow the healing process of a juvenile Green Sea Turtle, which was also recaptured, allowing the monitoring of its annual carapace growth (1.3 cm/y curved carapace length, CCL; Ciccione et al. 2015), and found that its body growth was less than that of juveniles of similar size foraging in other habitats (Jardim et al. 2015; Lenz et al. 2017). Differences in growth are expected between individuals and populations, however, and are affected by complex environmental influences (Bjorndal et al. 2000; Balazs and Chaloupka 2004; Bjorndal et al. 2016), complicating the understanding of the cause-effect relationship.

Carapaces and soft parts of the body of sea turtles serve as a substrate to which meroplanktonic larvae of marine invertebrates like barnacles attach themselves (Frick and Pfaller 2013). Barnacle diversity in turtle foraging habitats, interspecific competitiveness, substrate availability, reproductive periods, and environmental conditions of temperature and salinity can influence this epibiosis (Severino and Resgalla Jr. 2005; Pfaller et al. 2008; Domènech et al. 2014;

Zardus 2021). Furthermore, physio-biochemical differences in the host carapace and specific chemical signals may influence larval selectivity (Boyd et al. 2021), allowing for different interactive patterns. Interaction with epibionts is not indicative of disease in sea turtles (Pfaller et al. 2008; Frick and Pfaller 2013), but individuals who are immunosuppressed and lethargic may be susceptible to rapid colonization (Deem et al. 2009; Fernandez et al. 2015), as it occurs with nesting female sea turtles, which are possibly more sedentary, and have been shown to host more complex epibiont communities (Pfaller et al. 2008; Frick and Pfaller 2013). An extensively registered epibiotic relationship is that of turtle barnacles of the genus *Chelonibia* with sea turtles (Ross 1963), which has been reported in populations in the Mediterranean Sea and the Pacific, Atlantic, and Indian oceans (Fernandez et al. 2015; Lim et al. 2020; Boyd et al. 2021; Zardus 2021). In Brazil, turtle barnacles like *Chelonibia testudinaria* (no common name), *Platylepas hexastylos* (no common name) and acorn barnacles of the genus *Amphibalanus* have already been recorded as epibionts of Green Sea Turtles in their foraging areas (Bugoni et al. 2001; Pereira et al. 2006; de Loreto and Bondiolo 2007), and their presence can be used as indicators of sea turtle habitat use and behavior. For instance, migratory movements between oceanic and neritic habitats alter the epibiont species found on Loggerhead Sea Turtles (*Caretta caretta*; Reich et al. 2010). The epibiont removal services carried out by reef fish (Sazima et al. 2010), and the self-cleaning behavior of Green Sea Turtles also curb their successful settlement (Boyd et al. 2021) and contribute to individual and environmental differences.

A foraging ground of juvenile Green Sea Turtles has been monitored by personnel of the Caminho Marinho Project in an Environmental Protection Area (EPA) in the subtropical zone of the Southwest Atlantic since 2010. During one of the periodic monitoring activities conducted in the area, we captured a juvenile Green Sea Turtle that had external lesions with exposed internal tissue on the carapace. We describe the recovery of this Green Sea Turtle over a 2-y period in its natural environment and the benthic species that colonized its carapace during the convalescence process.

### **Materials and Methods**

*Study area*.*—*We carried out capture and monitoring activities with Green Sea Turtles that were subjects



**Figure 1**. Green Sea Turtle (*Chelonia mydas*) monitoring area at the Right Whale Environmental Protection Area (RWEPA), Santa Catarina, Brazil. (Itapirubá Norte inlet photographed by Fernando Tatagiba/ICMBio).

of incidental captures during artisanal fishing in the Itapirubá Norte cove (28º18'S, 48º41'W), in the Right Whale Environmental Protection Area (RWEPA), Santa Catarina, Brazil (Fig. 1). This inlet borders a rocky coast to the south (about 530 m long) where there are macroalgae such as the green alga *Codium*  sp. and *Ulva* sp., characteristic of Green Sea Turtle foraging grounds, and the water depth is  $\leq 20$  m. The average sea surface temperature is approximately 24º C in the Southern Hemisphere summer, and to 16º C in the winter, and may occasionally be lower than 18º C in the summer, due to cold water intrusion events near the Santa Marta Cape (Campos et al. 2013). The area is close to the Port of Imbituba (about 7 nm) and overlaps with small-scale amateur and large commercial fisheries. The Port of Imbituba is small, with only three berths, totaling approximately 660 m in length, and is used mainly to export grains and oil products (García-Onetti et al. 2021). Fishing is carried out by artisanal and industrial fleets throughout the year in a seasonal schedule and

with the use of gillnets, longlines, lines, and trawls (Capellesso and Cazella 2011; Zappes et al. 2013; Port et al. 2016). The state of Santa Catarina is the largest producer of industrial fish (mainly bony-fish, and some sharks and rays) in Brazil (Universidade do Vale de Itajaí, Centro de Ciências Tecnológicas da Terra e do Mar 2013), and fishing is allowed in the EPA in accordance with national legislation, which allows fishing in sustainable use protected area (Freitas et al. 2022).

*Green Sea Turtle monitoring*.*—*Between 2021 and 2023, we performed periodic captures at 30 to 60 d intervals, with either gill nets or trawl nets measuring 50 m long and 3.2 m tall, with 0.30 m mesh, near the rocky coast at a depth of 2–3 m (Fig. 1). Caminho Marinho team reported previous sightings of Green Sea Turtles along the rocky coast, which we used to select the capture site. For capture, we either pulled or kept in place the nets by a diver with a sinker, without the presence of a boat, for approximately 1 h and only on days with favorable oceanographic conditions. Water and land teams monitored the nets and juvenile turtles were removed immediately after entanglement, while the gear was kept in place. We determined the CCL of the Green Sea Turtles using a flexible measuring tape (precision of 0.1 cm) and the weight with a digital scale (precision of 0.01 kg). We used Inconel tags, style 681, provided by TAMAR/ICMBio (National Center for Research and Conservation of Sea Turtles and Eastern Marine Biodiversity of the Chico Mendes Institute for Biodiversity Conservation, Vitória, Brazil), for tagging on both fore flippers.

*Recapture and assessment of injured Green Sea Turtle*.*—*At each capture,we photographed the injured juvenile turtle and measured the injuries found in the first capture with the aid of ImageJ software (available at https://imagej.net/). We cleaned the wounds with Chlorhexidine to prevent bacterial infections and, exclusively on the first capture (Recapture Event 0; Fig. 2), we kept the turtle under observation for 2 d at the Research Center of Caminho Marinho, in Itapirubá, before being released back to the same cove. In the first recapture (Recapture Event 1; Fig. 3B), we scraped off the barnacles that densely colonized the region of the carapace and the largest wound with the aid of a spatula and stored the barnacles in 70% alcohol. Using millimetric paper and a stereoscope microscope (40× zoom), we measured the base length (mm) of the barnacles that were collected from inside



**Figure 2**. Wounds on the carapace of the juvenile Green Sea Turtle (*Chelonia mydas*) when first captured (Recapture Event 0). (A) Major wound to the lateral and central scutes; (B) Minor injury to marginal scutes. (Photographed by Caminho Marinho Project).

the wound and from the rest of the carapace  $(n = 70)$ for each area) and identified them using a specific key and identification catalog for Cirripedia (Henry and McLaughlin 1986; Young 1999). We only registered and quantified but did not measure the presence of Turtle Barnacles (*Chelonibia testudinaria*).

#### **Results**

Over a 2-y period, we captured a juvenile Green Sea Turtle, BRA31516 fore left flipper, BRA31518 fore right flipper (from here on called BRA315,  $CCL = 32.1$  cm; weight = 3.60 kg), six times with an average interval of 146 d between captures (Table 1). At Recapture Event 0, on 28 July 2021, BRA315 had two wounds on its carapace: a deep, curved, Y-shaped wound, which reached the first and second left lateral scutes and the second central scute, and a second smaller wound that reached the fifth and sixth left marginal scutes (Fig. 2). The first wound measured 12.3 cm long and the second 3.6 cm long. There was no hemorrhage or fluid leaking, as the coelomic cavity was not perforated; however, internal tissues were visible in the first wound. Only one Turtle Barnacle was present on the carapace during Recapture Event 0 (Fig. 3). Small superficial abrasions were found on the plastron and there were no lesions on the neck and flippers.

At Recapture Event 1 of the juvenile (CCL  $=$ 32.2 cm; weight =  $3.69 \text{ kg}$ ), on 2 November 2021 approximately three months later, the wounds were partially healed, and the carapace was densely colonized by non-pedunculated barnacles and green algae filaments (Fig. 3). The barnacles present exclusively inside the larger carapace wound were species of titan acorn barnacles, including 70 *Megabalanus coccopoma* (mean base length =  $7.6 \pm$ 2.1 [standard deviation] mm; range of values 4–11 mm), and one individual of *M. vesiculosus* (base length  $= 5$  mm), while another titan acorn barnacle *M. tintinnabulum* occurred in high density on the rest of the carapace (mean base length =  $5 \pm 1.2$ mm; range of values  $2-9$  mm,  $n = 70$ ). A colonial bryozoan *Membranipora* sp. was also found attached to that *M. tintinnabulum* individual. At Recapture Event 2 (CCL = 32.7 cm; weight = 4.02 kg), on 17 March 2022, there was an evident reduction of titan acorn barnacles, while 10 Turtle Barnacles were present on the carapace (Fig. 3). Turtle BRA315 had a slight weight gain, and healing of the dermis was apparent in the larger wound. At Recapture Event 3  $(CCL = 32.6 \text{ cm}; \text{ weight} = 3.85 \text{ kg}) 25 \text{ May } 2022, \text{ the}$ wound in the marginal scute had healed and, in the largest lesion, we saw the process of keratinization (keratin production by epidermal cells, demonstrated by the sealing and impermeabilization of the wound; Ackermann 2013; Hargis and Ginn 2013) of the epidermis, besides a slight loss of body mass in relation to Recapture Event 2 (Table 1); as to barnacle epibionts, only one Turtle Barnacle was found on the carapace (Fig. 3). At Recapture Event 4 (CCL =  $32.6$ ) cm; weight =  $3.86 \text{ kg}$ ) 6 July 2022, the keratinization of the largest wound was practically complete; one Turtle Barnacle and few titan acorn barnacles (fewer than 10 individuals) were present on the third and fourth central scutes of the carapace (Fig. 3). After 2 y, on 24 July 2023, we recaptured BRA315 for the fifth time (Recapture Event 5) in the same foraging area. The individual had increased in size and weight  $(CCL = 35.2$  cm; weight = 5.02 kg), with an annual carapace growth of 2.6 cm CCL (Table 1), and only the scar of the largest wound remained (Fig. 3). Only



**Figure 3**. Condition of the carapace of the injured juvenile Green Sea Turtle (*Chelonia mydas*) on (A) Recapture Event 0 with Turtle Barnacle (*Chelonibia testudinaria*) in the black circle; (B) Recapture Event 1, largest wound in the carapace, which was covered by titan acorn barnacles: *Megabalanus coccopoma* on the wound, and *M. tintinnabulum* over the carapace; (C) Recapture Event 2, Turtle Barnacles visible on the carapace; (D) Recapture Event 3, Turtle Barnacle in the circle; (E) Recapture Event 4, titan acorn barnacles in the rectangle, Turtle Barnacle in the circle; (F) Recapture Event 5, Turtle Barnacle not visible because it was on the fifth marginal scute of the carapace. (Photographed by Caminho Marinho Project).

one Turtle Barnacle was present on the fifth marginal scute of the carapace, with no other epibiont species observed.

#### **Discussion**

Sea turtles face several anthropogenic threats throughout their lives, and collisions with boats and vessels are one of the most recorded (Hazel and Gyuris 2006; Foley et al. 2019; Ataman et al. 2021), including dredging operations (Goldberg et al. 2015). We saw the recovery of a juvenile Green Sea Turtle whose injuries were almost certainly caused by humans, given the characteristics of the wound. The curved fracture on the back of the carapace is like that of sea turtles struck by boat propellers (Work et al. 2010; Godoy and Stockin 2018; Phu and Palaniappan 2019), characterized by a single linear or parallel slicing wound, which can be fatal when they compromise the coelomic cavity (Work et al. 2010). We could not determine how much time had passed between the incident and Recapture Event 0, however. The fact that the juvenile turtle was captured when it still had the largest wound open, with small bone fragments, suggests that the impact had been recent, and that the incident had probably

Recapture						
Event	Date	RI	$CCL$ (cm)	W	Carapace Condition	Epibionts on carapace
$\Omega$	28 July 2021		32.1	3.60	Wound on carapace of 1 <sup>st</sup> to 2 <sup>nd</sup> left lateral scute and exposure of internal tissue on 2 <sup>nd</sup> central scute; wound on 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> left marginal scutes	Turtle Barnacle Chelonibia <i>testudinaria</i> $(n = 1)$
1	2 November 2021	98	32.2	3.69	Exposed wound in the process of healing on carapace	Green algae and high density of titan acorn barnacles M. coccopoma and M. tintinnabulum; one barnacle M. vesiculosus and one bryozoan Membranipora sp.
$\overline{c}$	17 March 2022	235	32.7	4.02	Carapace wound with healed dermis	Absence of titan acorn barnacles, only Turtle Barnacle $(n = 10)$
3	25 May 2022	304	32.6	3.85	Keratinization of epidermis in carapace wound	Turtle Barnacle $(n = 1)$
4	6 July 2022	344	32.6	3.86	Wound reduction with increased keratinization	Titan acorn barnacles $(\leq 10)$ , and Turtle Barnacle $(n = 1)$
5	24 July 2023	728	35.2	5.02	Wound fully healed	Absence of titan acorn barnacles, only Turtle Barnacle $(n = 1)$

**Table 1.** Interval between successive captures, curved carapace length (CCL), weight, and description of wounds and epibionts for BRA315, the injured Green Sea Turtle (*Chelonia mydas*) over a 2-y period in a natural environment. Abbreviations RI = recapture interval (consecutive days) and  $W =$  weight in kilograms.

occurred in the vicinity of the monitored foraging area. Within this larger foraging area, the individual could have already been foraging in the cove where it was found before the injury event, or the cover may be the place where it found favorable environmental conditions to shelter and recover from injuries.

Juvenile Green Sea Turtles, with carapace size between 25 and 40 cm CCL, globally are known to gather in benthic environments with seagrass meadows and macroalgae near the coast (Limpus et al. 2005; Guebert-Bartholo et al. 2011; Silva et al. 2017). In this case, BRA315 could have recently migrated from an oceanic environment, ending its pelagic life stage (Carr 1987), or could have come from nearby foraging sites, such as the Arvoredo Marine Biological Reserve, on the coast of Santa Catarina (Reisser et al. 2013), or the Paranaguá estuary complex, on the coast of Paraná (Gama et al. 2016). Green Sea Turtle individuals also migrate from Argentina and Uruguay to the southern coast of Brazil where the seawater temperature is warmer during the Southern Hemisphere autumn and winter, expanding the range of occurrence of the species during the juvenile stage (González Carman et al. 2012; Vélez-Rubio et al. 2018). Furthermore, in the RWEPA region, there are inlets and rocky shores (Fig. 1) next to Itapirubá where only juvenile Green Sea Turtles are seen foraging (Gustavo Martinez-Souza, pers. comm.). Regardless their routes, juveniles are at risk of boat-related accidents (Godoy and Stockin 2018; Lucchetti et al. 2018) and possible collisions in the RWEPA region, as boat and ship traffic are relatively constant due to commercial fishing (Capellesso and Cazella 2011; Zappes et al. 2013; Port et al. 2016) and port activities (García-Onetti et al. 2021).

With the frequency of successive catches, we were able to assess the physical condition of the individual and monitor the progress of its recovery. In Recapture Event 1, due to the high density of titan acorn barnacles on the carapace, we considered it prudent to remove them, especially those inside the fracture, to assist the healing of the largest wound. In doing so, we found that *M. coccopoma* predominated in the wound region, while *M. tintinnabulum* was largely distributed over the rest of the carapace. These two titan acorn barnacles naturally occur on rocky shore regions of southeast-south Brazil, from the state of Espírito Santo to the state of Rio Grande do Sul (Young 1999; Klôh et al. 2013). The presence of harbors, breakwaters and other artificial structures in the marine environment also facilitate their natural occurrence (Severino and Resgalla Jr. 2005; Ignacio et al. 2010; Abreu et al. 2016). *Megabalanus vesiculosus*, which is endemic to the Brazilian

coast and commonly found in northeastern Brazil (Farrapeira 2010; Abreu et al. 2016), also occurs in Santa Catarina (Boss et al. 2012). The presence of titan acorn barnacles on the carapace of BRA315 thus increases the list of epibionts species able to colonize juvenile Green Sea Turtles over the Brazilian coast, since the Turtle Barnacle *C. testudinaria* is a common epibiont of sea turtles in Brazil (Bugoni et al. 2001; Pereira et al. 2006; de Loreto and Bondiolo 2007) and worldwide (Frick and Pfaller 2013; Zardus 2021).

Juvenile Green Sea Turtles are less active during the cold season of the year, resting longer and foraging less frequently (Reisser et al. 2013), and this also seems to be the behavior of sea turtles experiencing severely debilitating trauma (Fernandez et al. 2015). Thus, the low mobility and lethargy of traumatized juveniles likely allows the settlement and development of epibionts, which may have arbitrarily embedded themselves in the wound region (Zardus 2021), also facilitating the colonial bryozoan *Membranipora* sp. settlement over the barnacles (Frazier et al. 1992; Pfaller et al. 2008). The increased availability of *M. coccopoma* larvae from spring onwards (Severino and Resgalla Jr. 2005), coinciding with the postincident period, also favored the dense colonization of these epibionts over the carapace at that time. Other juvenile Green Sea Turtles were also colonized by titan acorn barnacles in the foraging area, but mostly on the metal tags used for marking (Caminho Marinho Project, unpubl. data). As suspension feeders, these barnacles can benefit from the feeding habit of turtles, which resuspend sediments as they forage (Boyd et al. 2021). To fully understand these associations, however, further studies in the foraging grounds are needed.

Recapture events during and after the months of warmer sea surface temperature (late summer and autumn) showed gradual improvement in the body condition of BRA315 and the removal of the titan acorn barnacles may have favored the healing of the dermis in the largest wound. By interfering in its recovery process, we probably also affected epibiotic associations that occur over time, because the presence of epibionts alters the carapace substratum, allowing the settlement of other epibionts, which happened with the colonial bryozoan. During each release following a recapture event, the strong raised head and ease of diving of BRA315 led us to presume it was in good health condition to return to the marine environment. One year after the incident (Recapture Event 4), the small portion of the second central scute was still not keratinized and the low load of epibionts (fewer than 10) was indicative of recovery. Its growth appeared to have been impaired, however, with its size and weight remaining practically unchanged over the course of a year. It was only in Recapture Event 5, 2 y after the incident, that there was an annual growth of 2.6 cm CCL, quite similar to those already documented for juvenile Green Sea Turtles within the same size class (30–39.9 cm CCL) at the nearby foraging area (3.9 cm/y; Lenz et al. 2017), and in tropical shallow reefs in Northeastern Brazil (2.4 cm/y; Jardim et al. 2015). Environmental, demographic, and individual factors can contribute to growth differences between development areas (Bjorndal et al. 2000; Balazs and Chaloupka 2004), and it is possible that BRA315 may have benefited from the high primary productivity characteristic of the region (Acha et al. 2014), investing in somatic growth one year after the human disturbance it suffered. The timely cleaning of the wound, with infection prevention and removal of epibionts, very likely aided in recovery also.

Our study indicated that the recovery time, in the wild and with early human assistance (removal of epibionts in the wound) of juvenile Green Sea Turtles with lesions on the carapace is  $\leq 1$  y and probably varies according to life stage, to the severity of the lesion, and to geographic location. In Malaysia, Phu and Palaniappan (2019) showed a different healing time in the wild, for lesions from boat collisions in juvenile and adult Green Sea Turtle carapaces, and a possible relation between the severity of the wound and the healing time was established, though records came from only four individuals. Unfortunately, the authors did not report the size of the individuals at recaptures, so a direct comparison cannot be made. Fidelity to foraging areas over a few years seems to be common in juvenile Green Sea Turtles (Seminoff et al. 2003; González Carman et al. 2012; Proietti et al. 2012; López-Mendilaharsu et al. 2016; Silva et al. 2017), and this residency behavior enabled our study to follow the recovery of this turtle in the wild. Our study showed the importance of maintaining regular monitoring in sea turtle foraging grounds, especially in areas indicating a tendency of populational growth.

Though we did not quantify all the epibionts, we suspect that the improved health (humanmediated) of BRA315 and the increase in foraging were contributing factors for the absence of dense colonization by titan acorn barnacles. In addition, by removing such barnacles, we possibly allowed the settlement of Turtle Barnacle larvae by decreasing interspecific competition for space. Ecological

relationships between the epibiont fauna and their debilitated host sea turtles should be further investigated elsewhere, including studies on how human-mediated interventions may affect the process of epibiont colonization and ecological succession over a wounded carapace.

In addition to recording the presence of new barnacle epibionts on Green Sea Turtles in Brazil, our study showed that weakened sea turtles may function as substrates for rapid colonization by epibionts in coastal foraging areas. We also evidenced the potential threat of boat traffic to juveniles that feed in the neritic zone of the RWEPA. Other consequences, besides the possibility of death, include reduced somatic growth after accidents, which can result in long-term negative effects, considering the late sexual maturity in sea turtles, and possible increased risk of predation and infection. Activities geared toward the maintenance and expansion of monitoring other inlets where turtles may have a presence, the monitoring of dredging activities in the Port of Imbituba and fisheries with on-board observers should be discussed and put into practice to contribute to the conservation of the species in the Southwest Atlantic.

*Acknowledgments*.*—*This study was performed by the Caminho Marinho Project and it would not be possible without the support of volunteers and local fishers from the beach of Itapirubá Norte, Imbituba, Santa Catarina. Our thanks to all involved. We also thank Luciano Dalla Rosa, and Ursula Migon for proofreading the manuscript. This study is part of the doctoral research of the first author and was conducted under research permit SISBIO # 19216.

## **Literature Cited**

- Abreu, N.M.N., I. Marçal, A.B. Duarte, F.B. Pitombo, A. Vilasboa, and J. Gusmão. 2016. Microsatellite markers for barnacle studies: isolation and characterization of polymorphic microsatellite loci from the invasive barnacle *Megabalanus coccopoma* (Darwin, 1854) and its crossamplification in the Southern Atlantic endemic species *Megabalanus vesiculosus* (Darwin, 1854). Biochemical Systematics and Ecology 66:224– 228.
- Acha, E.M., H.W. Mianzan, R.A. Guerrero, M. Favero, and J. Bava. 2014. Marine fronts at the continental shelves of austral South America physical and ecological processes. Journal of Marine Systems 44:83–105.
- Ackermann, M.R. 2013. Inflamação e Cicatrização Pp. 975–1012 *In* Bases da Patologia em Veterinária. Zachary, M., and D. McGavin (Eds.). 5th Edition. Elsevier, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
- Archibald, D.W., and M.C. James. 2018. Prevalence of visible injuries to Leatherback Sea Turtles *Dermochelys coriacea* in the northwest Atlantic. Endangered Species Research 37:149–163.
- Ataman, A., A.M. Gainsbury, C.A. Manire, S.L. Hoffmann, A. Page-Karjian, S.E. Hirsch, M.M.R. Polyak, D.L. Cassill, D.M. Aoki, K.M. Fraser, et al. 2021. Evaluating prevalence of external injuries on nesting Loggerhead Sea Turtles *Caretta caretta* in southeastern Florida, USA. Endangered Species Research 46:137–146.
- Balazs, G.H., and M. Chaloupka. 2004. Spatial and temporal variability in somatic growth of Green Sea Turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) resident in the Hawaiian Archipelago. Marine Biology 145:1043–1059.
- Bjorndal, K.A., A.B. Bolten, and M.Y. Chaloupka. 2000. Green Turtle somatic growth model: evidence for density dependence. Ecological Applications 10:269–282.
- Bjorndal, K.A., M. Chaloupka, V.S. Saba, C.E. Diez, R.P. van Dam, B.H. Krueger, J.A. Horrocks, A.J.B. Santos, C. Bellini, M.A.G. Marcovaldi, et al. 2016. Somatic growth dynamics of West Atlantic Hawksbill Sea Turtles: a spatio-temporal perspective. Ecosphere 7(5):e01279. https://doi. org/10.1002/ecs2.1279.
- Boos, H., G.B. Buckup, L. Buckup, P.B. Araujo, C. Magalhães, M.P. Almerão, R.A. dos Santos, and F.L. Mantelatto. 2012. Checklist of the Crustacea from the state of Santa Catarina, Brazil. Check List 8:1020–1046.
- Boyd, L.L., J.D. Zardus, C.M. Knauer, and L.D. Wood. 2021. Evidence for host selectivity and specialization by epizoic *Chelonibia* barnacles between Hawksbill and Green Sea Turtles. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution 9. https://doi. org/10.3389/fevo.2021.807237.
- Broderick, A., and A. Patricio. 2019. *Chelonia mydas* (South Atlantic subpopulation). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2019. https://www. iucnredlist.org.
- Bugoni, L., L. Krause, A.O. Almeida, and A.A.P. Bueno. 2001. Commensal barnacles of sea turtles in Brazil. Marine Turtle Newsletter 94:7–8.
- Campos, P.C., O.O. Möller Jr., A.R. Piola, and E.D. Palma. 2013. Seasonal variability and coastal upwelling near Cape Santa Marta (Brazil). Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 118:1420–1433.
- Capellesso, A.J., and A.A. Cazella. 2011. Pesca artesanal entre crise econômica e problemas socioambientais: estudo de caso nos municípios de Garopaba e Imbituba (SC). Ambiente e Sociedade 14:15–33.
- Carr, A. 1987. New perspectives on the pelagic stage of sea turtle development. Conservation Biology 1:103–121.
- Chaloupka, M., T.M. Work, G.H. Balazs, S.K.K. Murakawa, and R. Morris. 2008. Cause-specific temporal and spatial trends in Green Sea Turtle strandings in the Hawaiian Archipelago (1982– 2003). Marine Biology 154:887–898.
- Ciccione, S., J. Claire, A. Carpentier, and M. Barret. 2015. Cause and healing of a sea turtle injury revealed by photo-identification. Indian Ocean Turtle Newsletter 21:10–12.
- Crespo-Picazo, J.L., V. Martínez, S. Martins, E. Abella, P. López, D. García-Párraga, and A. Marco. 2013. Surgical repair of a large shell injury in a Loggerhead Sea Turtle *Caretta caretta* and the need for a wildlife recovery centre in the Cape Verde Islands. Zoologia Caboverdiana 4:25–30.
- Cruciani, B., M. Barret, F. Schneider, and C. Vergneau-Grosset. 2021. Surgical repair of a chronic traumatic injury of the right carpal joint in a juvenile Hawksbill Sea Turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricata*). Veterinary Record Case Reports e22. https://doi.org/10.1002/vrc2.22.
- Deem, S.L., T.M. Norton, M. Mitchell, A. Segars, A.R. Alleman, C. Cray, R.H. Poppenga, M. Dodd, and W.B. Karesh. 2009. Comparison of blood values in foraging, nesting, and stranded Loggerhead Turtles (*Caretta caretta*) along the coast of Georgia, USA. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 45:4–56.
- De Loreto, B.O., and A.C.V. Bondioli. 2008. Epibionts associated with Green Sea Turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) from Cananéia, Southeast Brazil. Marine Turtle Newsletter 122:5–8.
- Domènech, F., F.J. Badillo, J. Tomás, J.A. Raga, and F.J. Aznar. 2014. Epibiont communities of Loggerhead Marine Turtles (*Caretta caretta*) in the western Mediterranean: influence of geographic and ecological factors. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 95:851–861.
- Farrapeira, C.M.R. 2010. Shallow water Cirripedia of the northeastern coast of Brazil: the impact of life history and invasion on biogeography. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 392:210–219.
- Fernández, I., M.A. Retamal, M. Mansilla, F. Yáñez, V. Campos, C. Smith, G. Puentes, A. Valenzuela, and H. González. 2015. Analysis of epibiont data in relation with the Debilitated Turtle Syndrome of sea turtles in *Chelonia mydas* and *Lepidochelys olivacea* from Concepción coast, Chile. Latin American Journal of Aquatic Research 43:1024– 1029.
- Foley, A.M., B.A. Stacy, R.F. Hardy, C.P. Shea, K.E. Minc, and B.A. Schroeder. 2019. Characterizing watercraft‐related mortality of sea turtles in Florida. Journal of Wildlife Management 83:1057–1072.
- Franchini, D., L. Cavaliere, C. Valastro, F. Carnevali, A. van der Esch, O. Lai, and A. Di Bello. 2016. Management of severe head injury with brain exposure in three Loggerhead Sea Turtles *Caretta caretta*. Diseases of Aquatic Organisms 119:145– 152.
- Frazier, J.G., J.E. Winston, and C.A. Ruckdeschel. 1992. Epizoan communities on marine turtles III. Briozoa. Bulletin of Marine Science 51:1–8.
- Freitas, R.R., R.C. Costa, C.M.C. Alvite, D.C. Balensiefer, C.R.M.B. de Barros, and J.H. Prado. 2022. Challenges for fishers' engagement in Marine Protected Areas: lessons from Right Whale Environmental Protection Area, Southern Brazil. Marine Policy 143:105135. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.marpol.2022.105135.
- Frick, M.G., and J.B. Pfaller. 2013. Sea turtle epibiosis. Pp. 399–426 *In* The Biology of Sea Turtle 3. Wyneken, J., K.J. Lohmann, and J.A. Musick (Eds.). CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, USA.
- Gama, L.R., C. Domit, M.K. Broadhurst, M.M.P.B. Fuentes, and R.B. Millar. 2016. Green Turtle *Chelonia mydas* foraging ecology at 25° S in the western Atlantic: evidence to support a feeding model driven by intrinsic and extrinsic variability. Marine Ecology Progress Series 542:209–219.
- García-Onetti, J., M.E.G. Scherer, M.L. Asmus, J.G. Sanabria, and J.M. Barragán. 2021. Integrating ecosystem services for the socioecological management of ports. Ocean and Coastal Management 206:105583. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2021.105583.
- Godoy, D.A., and K.A. Stockin. 2018. Anthropogenic impacts on Green Turtles *Chelonia mydas* in New Zealand. Endangered Species Research Vol. 37:1– 9, 2018. https://doi.org/10.3354/esr00908.
- Goldberg, D.W., D.T. de Almeida, F. Tognin, G.G. Lopez, G.T. Pizetta, N.O. Leite, Jr., and R. Sforza.

2015. Hopper dredging impacts on sea turtles on the northern coast of Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil. Marine Turtle Newsletter 147:16–20.

- González Carman, V., V. Falabella, S. Maxwell, D. Albareda, C. Campagna, and H. Mianzan. 2012. Revisiting the ontogenetic shift paradigm: the case of juvenile Green Turtles in the SW Atlantic. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 429:64–72.
- Guebert-Bartholo, F.M., M. Barletta, M.F. Costa, and E.L.A. Monteiro-Filho. 2011. Using gut contents to assess foraging patterns of juvenile Green Turtles *Chelonia mydas* in the Paranaguá Estuary, Brazil. Endangered Species Research 13:131–143.
- Hargis, A.M., and P.E. Ginn. 2013. O Tegumento. Pp 975–1012 *In* Bases da Patologia em Veterinária. Zachary, M., and D. McGavin (Eds.). 5th Edition. Elsevier, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
- Hazel, J., and E. Gyuris. 2006. Vessel-related mortality of sea turtles in Queensland, Australia. Wildlife Research 33:149–154.
- Henry, D.P., and P.A. McLaughlin. 1986. The recent species of *Megabalanus* (Cirripedia: Balanomorpha) with special emphasis on *Balanus tintinnabulum* (Linnaeus) *sensu lato*. Zoologische Verhandelingen 235:1–60.
- Ignacio, B.L., L.M. Julio, A.O.R. Junqueira, and M.A.G. Ferreira-Silva. 2010. Bioinvasion in a Brazilian bay: filling gaps in the knowledge of Southwestern Atlantic biota. PloS ONE 5(9):e13065. http://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0013065.
- International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN). 2023. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species, Version 2022–2. https://www.iucnredlist. org.
- Jardim, A., M. López-Mendilaharsu, and F. Barros. 2015. Demography and foraging ecology of *Chelonia mydas* on tropical shallow reefs in Bahia, Brazil. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 96:1295–1304.
- Klôh, A.S., C.M.R. Farrapeira, A.P.R. Rigo, and R.M. Rocha. 2013. Intertidal native and introduced barnacles in Brazil: distribution and abundance. Marine Biodiversity Records Vol. 6; e102. https:// doi.org/10.1017/S1755267213000766.
- Lenz, A.J., L. Avens, and M. Borges-Martins. 2017. Age and growth of juvenile Green Turtles *Chelonia mydas* in the western South Atlantic Ocean. Marine Ecology Progress Series 568:191–201.
- Lim, K.K., M.A.S Hussein, and P. Palaniappan. 2020. Abundance, placement and sexual identity of the

epizoic barnacle *Chelonibia testudinaria* relative to the size and species of host turtles in Mabul Island, Malaysia. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 100:1299– 1309.

- Limpus C. J., D.J. Limpus, K.E. Arthur, and C.J. Parmenter. 2005. Monitoring of Green Turtle population dynamics in Shoalwater Bay: 2000– 2004. Research Publication No. 83, Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority Research Publication Series, Townsville, Australia.
- López-Mendilaharsu, M., G.M. Vélez-Rubio, C. Lezama, A. Aisenberg, A. Bauzá, L. Berrondo, V. Calvo, N. Caraccio, A. Estrades, M. Hernández, et al. 2016. Demographic and tumour prevalence data for juvenile Green Turtles at the Coastal-Marine Protected Area of Cerro Verde, Uruguay. Marine Biology Research 12:541–550.
- Lucchetti, A., V. Angelini, G. Furii, S. Pari, C. Vasapollo, and M. Virgili. 2018. Evidence of Loggerhead Sea Turtle (*Caretta caretta*, Linnaeus, 1758) injuries caused by Rapido (beam) trawling in the Mediterranean. Herpetological Journal 28:134–136.
- Marcovaldi, M.A., and G.G. Marcovaldi. 1999. Marine turtles of Brazil: the history and structure of Projeto TAMAR-IBAMA. Biological Conservation 91:35–41.
- Monteiro, D.S., S.C. Estima, T.B.R. Gandra, A.P. Silva, L. Bugoni, Y. Swimmer, J.A. Seminoff, and E.R. Secchi. 2016. Long-term spatial and temporal patterns of sea turtle strandings in southern Brazil. Marine Biology 163:247. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00227-016-3018-4.
- National Research Council. 1990. Decline of Sea Turtles: Causes and Prevention. National Academy Press, Washington D.C., USA.
- Pereira, S., E.H.S.M. Lima, L. Ernesto, H. Mathews, and A. Ventura. 2006. Epibionts associated with *Chelonia mydas* from northern Brazil. Marine Turtle Newsletter 111:17–18.
- Pfaller, J.B., M.G. Frick, K.J. Reich, K.L. Williams, and K.A. Bjorndal. 2008. Carapace epibionts of Loggerhead Turtles (*Caretta caretta*) nesting at Canaveral National Seashore, Florida. Journal of Natural History 42:1095–1102.
- Phu, J.L., and P. Palaniappan. 2019. Recaptured wild Green Turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) with newly documented boat strike injuries in Mabul Island, Sabah, Malaysia. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 18:265–272.
- Port, D., J.A.A. Perez, and J.T. de Menezes. 2016. The evolution of the industrial trawl fishery footprint off southeastern and southern Brazil. Latin American Journal of Aquatic Research 44:908–925.
- Proietti, M.C., J.W. Reisser, P.G. Kinas, R. Kerr, D.S. Monteiro, L.F. Marins, and E.R. Secchi. 2012. Green Turtle *Chelonia mydas* mixed stocks in the western South Atlantic, as revealed by mtDNA haplotypes and drifter trajectories. Marine Ecology Progress Series 447:195–209.
- Pulcinella, J., S. Bonanomi, A. Colombelli, C.M. Fortuna, F. Moro, A. Lucchetti, and A. Sala. 2019. Bycatch of Loggerhead Turtle (*Caretta caretta*) in the Italian Adriatic Midwater Pair Trawl Fishery. Frontiers in Marine Science 6. http://doi. org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00365.
- Reich, K.J., K.A. Bjorndal, M.G. Frick, B.E. Witherington, C. Johnson, and A.B. Bolten. 2010. Polymodal foraging in adult female Loggerheads (*Caretta caretta*). Marine Biology 157:113–121.
- Reisser, J., M. Proietti, I. Sazima, P. Kinas, P. Horta, and E. Secchi. 2013. Feeding ecology of the Green Turtle (*Chelonia mydas*) at rocky reefs in western South Atlantic. Marine Biology 160:3169–3179.
- Ross, A. 1963. *Chelonibia* in the Neogene of Florida. Quarterly Journal of the Florida Academy of Sciences 26:221–233.
- Sazima, C., A. Grossman, and I. Sazima. 2010. Turtle cleaners: reef fishes foraging on epibionts of sea turtles in the tropical southwestern Atlantic, with a summary of this association type. Neotropical Ichthyology 8(1). https://doi.org/10.1590/S1679- 62252010005000003.
- Seminoff, J.A., T.T. Jones, A. Resendiz, W.J. Nichols, and M.Y. Chaloupka. 2003. Monitoring Green Turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) at a coastal foraging area in Baja California, Mexico: multiple indices to describe population status. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 83:1355–1362.
- Severino, A., and C. Resgalla, Jr. 2005. Larval development of the *Megabalanus coccopoma* (Darwin, 1854) and temporal variation in Itapocoroy bay (Santa Catarina, Brazil). Atlântica  $27:5–16.$
- Silva, B.M.G., L. Bugoni, B.A.D.L. Almeida, B.B. Giffoni, F.S. Alvarenga, L.S. Brondizio, and J.H.

Becker. 2017. Long-term trends in abundance of Green Sea Turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) assessed by non-lethal capture rates in a coastal fishery. Ecological Indicators 79: 254–264.

- Tagliolatto, A.B., D.W. Goldberg, M.H. Godfrey, and C. Monteiro-Neto. 2020. Spatio-temporal distribution of sea turtle strandings and factors contributing to their mortality in south-eastern Brazil. Aquatic Conservation Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 30:331–350.
- Universidade do Vale de Itajaí, Centro de Ciências Tecnológicas da Terra e do Mar (UNIVALI/ CTTMar). 2013. Boletim estatístico da pesca industrial de Santa Catarina - ano 2012. Universidade do Vale de Itajaí, Centro de Ciências Tecnológicas da Terra e do Mar, Itajaí 13. 66 p.
- Vélez-Rubio, G.M., L. Cardona, M. López-Mendilaharsu, G. Martinez Souza, A. Carranza, P. Campos, D. González-Paredes, and J. Tomás. 2018. Pre and post-settlement movements of juvenile Green Turtles in the southwestern Atlantic Ocean. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 501:36–45.
- Vélez-Rubio, G.M., A. Estrades, A. Fallabrino, and J. Tomás. 2013. Marine turtle threats in Uruguayan waters: insights from 12 years of stranding data. Marine Biology 160:2797–2811.
- Work, P.A., A.L. Sapp, D.W. Scott, and M.G. Dodd. 2010. Influence of small vessel operation and propulsion system on Loggerhead Sea Turtle injuries. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 393:168–175.
- Young, P.S. 1999. Subclasse Cirripedia (cracas). Pp. 24–53 *In* Os Crustáceos do Rio Grande do Sul. Buckup, L., and G.B. Buckup (Eds.). Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil.
- Zappes, C.A., C.V. da Silva, M. Pontalti, M.L. Danielski, and A.P.M. Di Beneditto. 2013. The conflict between the Southern Right Whale and coastal fisheries on the southern coast of Brazil. Marine Policy 38:428–437.
- Zardus, J.D. 2021. A global synthesis of the correspondence between epizoic barnacles and their sea turtle hosts. Integrative Organismal Biology 3(1):obab002. http://doi.org/10.1093/iob/ obab002.



**Deisi C. Balensiefer** is a Biologist and Environmental Analyst at Chico Mendes Institute for Biodiversity Conservation, Imbituba, Brazil. She studied aquatic turtles (*Podocnemis unifilis*) during her M.Sc. research in the National Amazon Research Institute (INPA), Amazonas, Brazil. Currently, Deisi is a Ph.D. candidate in Biological Oceanography in the Federal University of Rio Grande (FURG), Rio Grande, Brazil, with the goal to assess the main anthropic threats to sea turtles in the coast of the Right Whale Environmental Protection Area, Santa Catarina. (Photographed by Gabriel Balensiefer Almeida Rego).



**Gustavo "Baila" Martinez-Souza**, Ph.D. is an Oceanographer and a Professor of Statistics at the Instituto de Matemática, Estatística e Física (IMEF) of Universidade Federal do Rio Grande (FURG) and Director of the Biometry and Conservation Laboratory (BIOCO-IMEF-FURG), Rio Grande, Brazil. He has been studying the risks and threats to sea turtle populations developing techniques for monitoring free-ranging sea turtles since 2006. Baila was the founder of the Caminho Marinho Project and Executive Director between 2010 and 2019. He works closely with undergraduate and graduate students and provides them with training in sea turtle management and conservation. (Photographed by Paula Moreira).



**Yohany Arnold Alfonso Pérez**, a Veterinarian and Zootechnician, is currently pursuing a Ph.D. on pollution, fibropapillomatosis, and the health of sea turtles at the Federal Fluminense University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. He is a member of the coordination team at the Caminho Marinho Project and has been working with marine mammals and sea turtles since 2018. As part of his professional interests, Yohany has participated in several internships and courses focused on wildlife conservation and environmental health assessment in Cuba, Brazil, and the USA. (Photographed by Yohany Arnold Alfonso Pérez).



**Thayana Gião** is a Biologist who is currently the Coordinator of Caminho Marinho and has 6 y of experience in sea turtle monitoring and conservation activities. She is also a Master's student in the Postgraduate Program in Oceanography at the Federal University of Santa Catarina (UFSC), Florianópolis, Brazil, developing research on the contamination of organic pollutants in sea turtles at foraging areas. Thayana also worked on studies of biodiversity, ecology, and taxonomy of fish parasites during her graduation studies. (Photographed by Thayana Gião).



**Raphael Mathias Pinotti**, Ph.D. is a volunteer Professor at the Federal University of Rio Grande - FURG (Southern Brazil). In the past 20 y, he has been contributing to studies and developing research on zoobenthic ecology, from neritic to oceanic habitats. His writing experience includes authoring and co-authoring scientific articles and book chapters mainly on Biological Oceanography. As teacher, Raphael has mentored some undergraduate students in Oceanography and has been working with dozens of students at the sea. He is currently coordinating one of the four Brazilian Floating Teaching Laboratories called Ciências do Mar, training human resources in marine sciences along the Brazilian coast and worldwide (@SeaNetwork). (Photographed by Mariane Lopes de Paiva).



**Gonzalo Velasco C.** graduated from Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de la República (Montevideo, Uruguay) with a degree in Biological Sciences. He also earned a M.Sc. and Ph.D. in Biological Oceanography from Universidade Federal do Rio Grande (FURG), Rio Grande, Brazil, and was a visiting Ph.D. candidate at the Fisheries Centre, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada, and the Kanagawa International Fisheries Centre, Yokosuka, Japan. Gonzalo works with Fisheries Science and Biological Oceanography of FURG, working mainly on fish population dynamics, artisanal and industrial fisheries, co-management, conservation, and ecosystem modeling with Ecopath. Currently he is an Associate Professor at FURG, and a Scientific Editor of the *Pan-American Journal of Aquatic Sciences*. (Photographed by Ana C. Pinto).